Introduction

Measles is a vaccine-preventable disease that could be eliminated by vaccination strategies. With the implementation of the World Health Organization (WHO) strategic plan for the measles elimination, the number of measles cases in European Region has decreased. However, outbreaks are still observed. Although most measles cases affect unvaccinated individuals, cases with vaccinated persons are also reported. Furthermore, it was described that a high percentage of young people in Poland exhibit no presence of anti-MeV IgG despite the high level of vaccination covering no less than 97% of the Polish population. Strong evidence exists that immunity to measles is complex and depends on both the humoral and cellular response and although antibodies have been used as correlates of immunity, it is increasingly being considered that antibody-based definitions of vaccine success or failure may be incomplete. Here, we investigated immunity to measles as the reactivity of CD4 T cells to stimulation with vaccine as well as wild strains of measles virus (MeV) isolated in Poland, in young vaccinated persons and subjects infected naturally. Evidence for the presence of MeV-specific memory cells years after infection or vaccination was found, however the cells of vaccinees and naturally infected subjects reacted differently in contact with wild and vaccine MeV strains. Furthermore, the presence of a significant proportion of non-responder vaccinees was observed. In conclusion, our results may have implications for studies on the monitoring of the complexity of post-vaccine immune response.
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Materials and Methods

Study group. The study was conducted using specimens obtained from 55 volunteers. Volunteers were selected on the basis of age, anti-MeV IgG presence, documented vaccination against measles (annotation in personal immunization sheet and/or record in child’s health booklet). Three groups were created (Table I): unvaccinated against measles, but seropositive as a result of natural infection subjects born before 1972 (group 1), vaccinated against measles with negative or equivocal result of anti-MeV IgG test born after 1974 (group 2), and vaccinated against measles with positive result of anti-MeV IgG test subjects born after 1974 (group 3). 1974 was taken as a caesura because in this year vaccination against measles was introduced in Poland, so persons who were born in 1974 or before were unvaccinated and acquired immunity by natural measles infection (Janaszek et al., 2000).

To exclude immunological parameters which could affect immune response, leukocytes numbers (WBC), lymphocytes profile (Simultest™ IMK-Lymphocyte, Becton Dickinson) and serum cytokines level (BD™ Cytometric Bead Array, Human Th1/Th2 cytokine Kit II) were determined. The results of all subjects enrolled in the study were within the reference ranges.

Sero logical study. Serum samples were assayed for anti-measles specific IgG using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit Enzygnost® Anti-Measles Virus/IgG (Simens, formerly DadeBehring, Germany).

The tests were performed according to the manufacturer's procedure, allowing quantification by measuring the optical density (OD) of a single serum dilution in antigen and control wells. The difference of these ODs (ΔOD) multiplied by a correction factor were used to evaluate the qualitative result according to the following cut-off values: results with ΔOD < 0.100 were considered as negative, results with ΔOD in range 0.100–0.200 were equivocal and results with, ΔOD > 0.200 as positive.

Quantitative values of anti-MeV IgG were calculated using the formula: \( \log_{10} \text{mIU/ml} = \alpha \Delta \text{OD}^\beta \) (where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are lot-dependent constants, as well as nominal value used to calculate the correction factor). The quantitative results were expressed in mIU/ml.

The test was standardized to the 3rd International Standard for Anti-Measles (WHO International Standard, 3rd International Standard for Anti-Measles. NIBSC code: 97/648. National Institute for Biological Standard and Control. Blanche Lane South Mimms Potters Bar Hertfordshire EN6 3QG UK.) containing 3 000 mIU/ml anti-measles activity measured by PRNT (Plaque Reduction Neutralization Test). In four independent experiments, five double dilutions of standard serum were examined in triplicate. It was shown (in linear model of analysis of regression) that 200 mIU/ml anti-measles activity measured by PRNT (level protection against symptomatic disease (WHO, 1993) is equivalent to 636 mIU/ml measured by ELISA test used in this study (data not shown).

Measles virus (MeV). The study was conducted with vaccine strain (E) of measles virus (Edmonston ATCC, VR-24) and two wild strains isolated in Poland: 2281/3/2006 (W1) and 2521/3/2007 (W2), belonging to two genotypes, D4 and D6 accordingly. The viruses were propagated and titrated (TCID\(_{50}\)) in VeroSLAM (received from Robert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany) maintained in DMEM (Sigma, D7777) and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma, F6178), then aliquoted and stored at −70°C.

Stimulation. Sodium heparin whole blood samples were stimulated with MeV (E, W1, W2). Samples stimulated with SEB (enterotoxin B Staphylococcus aureus, S4881 Sigma) served as positive control. Non stimulated samples (NS) served as negative control.

Each blood sample was aliquoted into five parts. Four of these five aliquots were stimulated with either 400 infectious particles of each MeV strain or 1 µg/ml of SEB. 200 µl of each stimulator were added to 0.5 ml of the whole blood sample. The fifth part of each sample remained non-stimulated. The dose of MeV was as

Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Anti-MeV IgG presence(^a)</th>
<th>Gender (f/m)(^b)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Anti-MeV IgG GMC(^c) in mIU/ml (95% CI)(^d)</th>
<th>Vaccine administration (no of vaccinees)</th>
<th>Time after administration of last dose of vaccine mean interval (range) in years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>POS</td>
<td>19/1</td>
<td>40–62</td>
<td>52.6 ± 6.3</td>
<td>3512 (2404–5140)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>NEG or EQU</td>
<td>11/7</td>
<td>21–36</td>
<td>27.3 ± 4.4</td>
<td>153 (105–221)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NEG</td>
<td>4/3</td>
<td>21–35</td>
<td>26.4 ± 4.3</td>
<td>71 (41–120)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>EQU</td>
<td>7/4</td>
<td>22–36</td>
<td>27.9 ± 4.6</td>
<td>250 (217–288)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>POS</td>
<td>14/3</td>
<td>18–36</td>
<td>27.3 ± 5.3</td>
<td>855 (578–1265)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) POS – positive, NEG – negative, EQU – equivocal, \(^b\) f – female, m – male, \(^c\) GMC – geometric mean concentration, \(^d\) CI – confidence interval
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high as allowed by the titer of the weakest virus strain (W1). Additionally, to all samples CD28/CD49d monoclonal antibodies were added and samples were incubated 2 h at 37°C. After incubation with MeV, SEB and costimulatory antibodies, Brefeldin A had been added to inhibit the secretion of newly synthetized cytokine and CD69. The samples were then incubated for an additional 4 h at 37°C. Finally EDTA and lysing solution were added to remove adherent cells and lyse red blood cells, respectively. Prior to staining, the samples were stored at –70°C.

CD69 and IFN determination. After 6 hours stimulation, BD FastImmune CD4 Intracellular Cytokine Detection Kit (337185 Becton Dickinson) was used for determination of CD69 and IFN expression. After the permeabilization step, the cells were labeled with an antibody cocktail consisting of INFγ/FITC, CD69/PE, CD4/PerCP-Cy5.5, CD3/APC. Additional samples were stained with isotypic control (γ2a/FITC and γ1/PE). Stained cells were fixed and four-color analysis was performed in flow cytometer (FACSCalibur) with CellQuest software. For analysis 20 000 CD4 T cells were collected. Gating strategy was shown in Fig. 1. The specific response of cells to stimulus was obtained by subtracting the % positive events in unstimulated sample (NS) from the % positive events in the activated sample (E, W1, W2 or SEB).

Mock infected control. The stimulatory effect of VeroSLAM cell lysate was determined. Uninfected cells were prepared in the same manner as the cells used for virus propagation. Blood samples were stimulated with uninfected cell lysate (mock), cell lysate contain 400 infectious particles of MeV (Edmonston). Non-stimulated samples (NS) served as a negative control. The samples were processed as described above. The experiment was done in triplicate.

Statistical analysis. The parametric tests: Anova for determination of differences between groups or Student’s t-test for two samples comparison were used for data exhibiting normal distribution. For non-normal distributed data the Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney (Wilcoxon) tests were used, respectively. Correlation between numerical variables was examined by linear regression. To compare the distribution of responders and non-responders, Fisher’s exact test was used. The p < 0.05 was considered as significant. Statistical analysis was performed using Statgraphics Plus v.4.1. software.

Results

Age, anti-MeV IgG level and vaccine doses. The results of T CD4 response after in vitro stimulation with MeV were analysed in three groups differing in age, anti-MeV IgG presence and mode of MeV-specific IgG acquisition (vaccination, natural infection).

While subjects naturally infected (group 1) were significantly older than vaccinees (group 2 and 3), the age...
The GMC of anti-MeV IgG value measured in individuals naturally infected (3,512 mIU/ml) was significantly higher (p < 0.001) compared to the value obtained by the vaccination in group 3 (855 mIU/ml).

Vaccinees were immunized with single or two doses of vaccine. The mean level of anti-MeV IgG in vaccinated once was higher (409 mIU/ml [CI95% 206–811]) in comparison to the mean level observed in vaccinated twice (311 mIU/ml [CI95% 190–510]). However, this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.49).

Analysis of relationship between the mean interval between date of last dose of vaccine (20.97 years [CI95% 18.34–23.60]) and anti-MeV IgG level (352 mIU/ml [CI95% 238–521]) measured in vaccinees from groups 2 and 3 showed no relationship between those variables (p = 0.83).

Mock infected control. The results of control experiments showed no influence of cell lysate on stimulatory effect of measles virus (Fig. 2). The frequency of CD4/CD69 cells in the mock control was significantly lower (p = 0.028) than that observed after stimulation with cell lysate containing virus. No significant differences (p = 0.19) were observed between non-stimulated (NS control) and mock-stimulated cells. A similar relationship was observed for the CD4/CD69/IFN frequency. However, differences between E and mock-stimulated cells reached only marginally statistical significance (p = 0.07).

Non-specific activation of CD4 T cells (NS and SEB controls). Percentage of CD4 cells expressing CD69 (CD4/CD69) and CD4 expressing CD69 and IFN producing (CD4/CD69/IFN) in control samples – non-stimulated (NS) and stimulated with SEB were determined (Fig. 3). All subjects responded to SEB. After SEB stimulation the mean frequency of CD4/CD69 was 32.33 (CI95% 28.53–36.16) and 3.56 (CI95% 2.73–4.38) of CD4/CD69/IFN. The mean frequency of activated CD4 cells in NS control was low: 3.31 (CI95% 2.36–4.25) of CD4/CD69 and 0.078 (CI95% 0.054–0.102) of CD4/CD69/IFN. Eight outliers in the NS control,
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four outliers in the SEB control and one outlier in both assays were identified (Fig. 3). However, statistical analysis of NS control as well as SEB control showed no differences between the three groups of investigated individuals (p > 0.05), the subjects exhibiting hyperactivity for stimulation (five outliers in SEB control) were excluded from further analysis. The outliers identified in NS control were not excluded, because influence of spontaneous reactivity was discriminated by subtraction of NS values from values obtained by virus-specific stimulation. As a result, for further analysis, a group of 50 subjects was created.

**MeV-specific activation of T CD4 cells (E, W1, W2).** The frequency of CD4 activated T cells (CD4/CD69/IFN positive) in blood samples collected from individuals with different mode of anti-measles immunity acquisition was evaluated (Fig. 4).

The percentage of CD4 cells activated by MeV was much lower than that observed after SEB stimulation. In all study groups, after MeV stimulation no more than ten activated T cells were found: 9.8 cells after E stimulation (0.049%); 5.4 cells after W1 stimulation (0.027%) and 8.0 cells after W2 stimulation (0.040%). SEB stimulation led to the average number of 712 CD4/CD69/IFN positive cells (3.56%).

It was observed that whereas the response of subjects in the infected naturally (group 1) and seropositive-vaccinated (group 3) after stimulation with vaccine strain was equal, the response after stimulation with wild strains was higher in the young, vaccinated groups. The CD4 T cell activation was similarly low irrespective of strain used for stimulation among group 2 subjects (Fig. 4). Observed differences between groups regarding the frequency of activated CD4 T cells were not statistically significant (p > 0.05), maybe due to extremely low frequencies of investigated cells.

**Humoral versus cellular response.** The relationship between anti-MeV IgG level in responders and non-responders as defined above was evaluated (Fig. 5). The GMC of anti-MeV IgG value measured in responders (2 659 mIU/ml) was non-significantly higher (p = 0.66) compared to the value obtained in non-responders (2 470 mIU/ml). A similar analysis was made for each MeV strain used for stimulation. Within study group there were 21 individuals in the blood of which we could find activated CD4 T cells after stimulation with vaccine strain (E), and respectively 22 and 18 individuals after stimulation with W1 and W2. There were also no statistically significant differences of anti-MeV IgG level in the sera of responders and non-responders in regard to particular strain used as a stimulator (p > 0.05).

**Discussion**

Strong evidence exists that immunity to measles is complex and depends on both humoral and cellular response. Although Abs have been used as correlates of immunity, it is increasingly being considered that antibody-based definitions of vaccine success or failure may be incomplete. Cell-mediated immunity to measles
virus has been intensively studied (Nanan et al., 2000; Ovsyannikova et al., 2003; Naniche et al., 2004; Howe et al., 2005; Haralambieva et al., 2010; Haralambieva et al., 2011; Jacobson et al., 2012). Using new techniques it was possible to measure low frequencies of lymphocytes subpopulation and thus quantifying MeV specific memory cells (Czerkinsky et al., 1983; Altman et al., 1996; Waldrop et al., 1997; Nanan et al., 2000). In the present study we investigated immunity to measles as reactivity of CD4 T cells to stimulation with vaccine as well as wild strains, in vaccinees and subjects infected naturally.

We observed that the anti-MeV IgG levels after natural infection were significantly higher compared to the values obtained by vaccination. This finding is consistent with generally accepted data that the attenuated vaccine induces response qualitatively similar to that obtained as a result of natural infection but with lower antibody levels (WHO, 1993). The results of studies based on large cohorts have shown that vaccine-induced anti-MeV antibodies decline with time in the absence of circulating virus (Christenson and Böttiger, 1994; Mossong et al., 1999). In a large study undertaken in a measles post-elimination environment (Haralambieva et al., 2011) it was demonstrated that 7.4 years after vaccination only 23.2% of young, vaccinated subjects had anti-MeV IgG above 1841 mIU/ml (corresponding to titer of 1052 measured by plaque reduction test), the level "suggesting total protection against viral infection/viral replication". Interestingly, taking into account this criterion, in our study only 70% of naturally infected individuals exhibited total protection, and the remaining 30% were those with risk of asymptomatic infection (anti-MeV IgG below 1841 mIU/ml). It could suggest that antibodies contracted by natural infection also tend to wane, but after longer time, as after natural infection the antibodies titers are usually higher. The decline of anti-MeV IgG observed amongst those with a history of wild type measles infection suggests that these individuals have not experienced periodic boosting from exposure to circulating wild type viruses and this lack of transmission may indicate that Poland is entering the measles elimination phase.

In the present study we measured response after MeV stimulation as frequencies of CD4/CD69/IFN positive cells by FastImmune BD test. Like others (Nanan et al., 2000; Ovsyannikova et al., 2003; Dhiman et al., 2005; Haralambieva et al., 2011; Jacobson et al., 2012), we could detect MeV-specific memory cells long time after vaccination or infection. Using for stimulation highly attenuated vaccine as well as wild viruses, we observed differences in CD4/CD69/IFN frequencies in vaccinees and subjects infected naturally. It is interesting that the response of subjects infected naturally and seropositive vaccinees after Edmonston stimulation was equal, but was higher for vaccinees after wild strains stimulation. The differences in response after stimulation with Edmondston in comparison to the wild virus, measured as higher levels of broad cytokine panel and higher frequencies of cytokine-producing cells after stimulation has been described elsewhere (Haralambieva et al., 2010). That is indicated by our results too, however only in subjects infected naturally.

Within all three groups we observed the existence of subjects that had no evidence of CD4/CD69/IFN positive cells after MeV stimulation. While CD4 memory cells, like specific antibodies without booster, gradually disappear (Homann et al., 2001; Naniche et al., 2004) the highest number of such non-responders was observed among persons infected average 40–50 years ago. Non-responders were 10% more frequent within seronegative in comparison to seropositive vaccines. This is consistent with accepted data that CD4 T cells play a crucial role for antibodies production and their long time maintenance (Halwani et al., 2006).

The major strength of our study is a precisely defined group in regards to immune parameters. Our intention was to eliminate from the study those who exhibited any deficiency that might affect the examined parameters. In particular, special attention was paid to imbalance in Th1/Th2 system. The study limitations can be identified as a small group size and in the dose of measles virus used for stimulation. Because in our study whole blood samples were used, not PBMC, the precise determination of multiplicity of infection was not possible. On the other hand, the advantage of FastImmune in comparison to PBMC testing is the small volume of blood needed for the tests. This allows for performing a larger number of determinations for a single person. Another limitation can be identified as a loss of sensitivity in determination of CD4 activated by virus-specific stimulation due to applying very restrictive criteria for discrimination of non-specific reaction (subtraction of NS value). If so, the loss of sensitivity concerned all subjects and had no effect on the comparison between groups.

Conclusions. In conclusion, as both humoral and cellular immune responses play a critical role in measles protection to define vaccine success or failure both responses should be considered together. We found evidence for the presence of MeV-specific memory cells years after infection or vaccination, however, these cells reacted differently in contact with wild and vaccine measles virus strains. Furthermore, we observed the presence of a significant proportion of non-responder vaccinees, which could not be easily explained by the disappearance of MeV-specific memory CD4 cells over time. Our study may have implications on the monitoring of the complexity of the post-vaccine immune response.
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